Exercise increases attentional bias towards food cues in individuals classified as overweight to obese
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ABSTRACT

Background: The obesity epidemic continues to be a major public health concern. Although exercise is the most common weight loss recommendation, weight loss outcomes from an exercise program are often suboptimal. The human body compensates for a large percentage of the energy expended through exercise to maintain energy homeostasis and body weight. Increases in energy intake appear to be the most impactful compensatory behavior. Research on the mechanisms driving this behavior has not been fully elucidated.

Purpose: To determine if exercise influences the attentional processing towards food cues (attentional bias) and inhibitory control for food cues among individuals classified as overweight to obese who do not exercise.

Methods: Thirty adults classified as overweight to obese participated in a counterbalanced, crossover trial featuring two assessment visits on separate days separated by at least one week. Attentional bias and inhibitory control towards food cues was assessed prior to and after a bout of exercise where participants expended 500 kcal (one assessment visit) and before and after a 60 min bout of watching television (second assessment visit).

Attentional bias was conceptualized as the percentage of time fixated on food cues when both food and neutral (non-food) cues were presented during a food-specific dot-probe task. Inhibitory control, specifically motor impulsivity, was assessed as percentage of inhibitory failures during a food-specific Go/NoGo task.

Results: A significant condition by time effect was observed for attentional bias towards food cues, independent of hunger, whereas attentional bias towards food cues was increased pre-post exercise but not after watching TV. Inhibitory control was not affected by exercise or related to attentional bias for food cues.

Conclusions: An acute bout of exercise increased attentional bias for food cues, pointing to a mechanism that may contribute to the weight loss resistance observed with exercise. Future trials are needed to evaluate attentional bias towards food cues over a longitudinal exercise intervention.

1. Introduction

Obesity is a well-documented risk factor for type 2 diabetes mellitus, cardiovascular disease and certain cancers [1–5] and tightly linked to all-cause mortality [6]. Weight loss and weight loss maintenance research continues to be at the forefront for chronic disease prevention and control [7–9]. Despite these efforts, over 70% of the US adult population is overweight or obese [7, 8]. This suggests most individuals are not meeting their weight loss goals and underscores the need for novel obesity treatment interventions. The first step in developing novel and efficacious weight loss treatments is to understand the etiologies behind why weight loss interventions do not produce their desired results.

Exercise is the most common weight loss strategy with a prevalence rate of 65% among those attempting to decrease body weight [10]. Exercise is also one of the primary recommendations of the Diabetes Prevention Program (DPP) and a key component to the classic Look AHEAD trial primarily due to the role exercise is believed to play in weight loss and weight loss maintenance [11, 12]. However, reductions in body weight, specifically fat mass, from exercise training are often less than expected due to compensatory mechanisms that resist maintenance of the energy deficit required for weight loss [13–15]. Some
have reported greater amounts of exercise can evoke a proportionally greater compensatory response, potentially why exercise interventions with large differences in daily and weekly exercise energy expenditures can result in similar weight loss [16–19]. Others have determined this compensatory response to equal roughly 1000 kcal per week regardless of the magnitude of energy expended [20, 21]. Individual differences in the compensatory response to exercise are well documented and likely play an important role in these contrasting results [22]. In either case, this compensatory response determines the magnitude of weight loss from an exercise intervention.

The compensatory response to an energy deficit is an evolutionarily conserved trait in place to defend against a negative energy balance, formerly seen as a threat to survival [15]. In our current obesogenic society, however, desired weight loss requires a negative energy balance and is opposed by the compensatory response to this energy deficit. An energy deficit can be negated by either increasing energy intake or reducing energy expenditure (conserving energy). Decreases in non-exercise physical activity are one way individuals can conserve energy and thus resist a negative energy balance [15]. Although we have determined, via accelerometry, non-exercise physical activity is not significantly changed when individuals classified as overweight to obese start a new exercise program [20, 21]. Obligatory reductions in metabolic energy expenditure are another source of energy compensation, with these reductions accounting for approximately 120 kcal/day through mechanisms related to thyroid function, skeletal muscle glucose oxidation, and sympathetic nervous system activity [23–27]. The most impactful compensatory response working to resist an energy deficit is increases in energy intake, as the rate of energy intake exceeds the rate of energy expenditure and capable of accounting for considerably more than 120 kcal/day [13–15]. Determining the underlying mechanisms responsible for exercise-induced increases in energy intake is a necessary step towards improving weight loss outcomes.

An often-studied and easily assumed mechanism responsible for greater energy intake with exercise is increased hunger, assessed by hormonal mediators of appetite, lab-based food intake, and hunger/satiety scales [28–32]. In particular, elevated concentrations of the orexigenic hormone ghrelin [28, 33] and reductions in satiety-inducing signals such as peptide YY (PYY), glucagon-like peptide one (GLP-1), pancreatic polypeptide (PP), cholecystokinin (CCK), and leptin may all be altered to promote greater energy intake when exercising [30–32]. However, single bouts of exercise typically do not result in the expected orexigenic changes in appetite, food intake, and appetite regulating hormones [19, 34–36]. Others have demonstrated the opposite, where greater perceptions of fullness persist 24 h after exercise [37], and long-term exercise improves the satiety response to a meal [31, 38]. We have specifically demonstrated changes in fasting levels of hunger hormones do not predict energy compensation [20], and post-prandial decreases in satiety inducing hormone Leptin predicts less energy compensation [21]. It therefore appears exercise has a sensitizing action on leptin, improving its function to exert its physiological effects at lower levels, similar to the benefits of improving insulin sensitivity. These findings indicate biological processes governing hunger do not fully explain the compensatory response observed in exercising humans, pointing to other processes that require additional exploration [20, 32].

Empirical hypotheses postulate individuals who exercise for weight loss have distorted portion control, seek rewards from exercising in the form of food, and derive greater pleasure from high-fat, high-sugar, energy-dense palatable foods [41–44]. Impulse control is a multi-faceted construct with various laboratory tasks developed to study different aspects [45, 46]. The present study specifically focused on participants’ ability to suppress pre-potent responses to rewarding cues (motor impulsivity), traditionally measured using Stop Signal and Go/No-Go tasks [46–51]. Consistent with our prior studies, we broadly refer to motor impulsivity as inhibitory control in the present manuscript. Inhibitory control is indeed an important aspect influencing energy intake whereas a heightened awareness/attentional bias towards food may not lead to overeating in those with greater inhibitory control [52]. On the other hand, individuals with poor inhibitory control are at a greater susceptibility to food’s rewarding effects, manifesting as greater energy intake and weight gain [44, 53]. Determining the involvement of these processes in the compensatory response to exercise could guide the development of novel interventions to improve the efficacy of exercise for weight loss interventions. The present study hypothesized an acute bout of exercise would increase attentional bias towards food cues and impair inhibitory control in a sample of individuals classified as overweight to obese.

### 2. Materials and methods

A counterbalanced cross-over design was employed after two initial visits where participants were enrolled and assessed for physical activity and body composition (Fig. 1). The two assessment visits that followed were counterbalanced, one exercise and one sedentary visit, at least one week apart, at the same time of day. Participants completed assessments for attentional bias towards food cues prior to and 15 min after a bout of exercise where they expended an estimated 500 kcal (exercise visits) and prior to and 15 min after a 60 min bout of television watching (sedentary visit).

Recruitment occurred from May to October 2021 in the greater Lexington KY metropolitan area. Participants were a sample who responded to recruitment media (fliers, online advertisements) directed by the University of Kentucky Center for Clinical and Translational Science (CCTS). All participants were overweight to obese (BMI 25–45), non-smoking, had no contraindications to exercise participation, free of chronic diseases (such as diabetes or heart disease) and not currently engaging in exercise or a weight loss diet.

Both assessment visits were performed in the afternoon on the same day of the week, at least one week apart. Participants were 3–5 h post-prandial and energy intake for the day of each assessment was matched. Participants recorded the foods and beverages they consumed starting 5 h post-exercise. All participants were non-smoking, had no contraindications to exercise participation, free of chronic diseases (such as diabetes or heart disease) and not currently engaging in exercise or a weight loss diet.

### Acute Bout of Exercise

During the exercise assessment visit, participants engaged in exercise on an elliptical ergometer (Octane Fitness ZR8) until they expended an estimated 500 kg calories (kcal) under supervision of a researcher in the laboratory. Participants were provided a Polar A-300 heart rate monitor with smart-cal™ technology that factors the individual’s sex, age, weight, training status and heart rate to produce an estimate of total energy (in kcal) expended during the exercise session, with energy expended displayed in real-time. Exercise intensity was self-selected, although participants were advised an intensity of 65% heart rate reserve (HRR) is commonly prescribed for sedentary individuals beginning an exercise program [54]. Participants were provided 0.5 l of water during the exercise bout. After expending 500 kcal, participants took a 15 min rest before performing assessments for attentional bias and inhibitory control towards food cues. Participants also completed a hunger scale where they rated how hungry they felt immediately prior to completing the tasks both before (pre) and after (post) exercise. Standardizing the amount of energy expended during the exercise session was preferred over standardizing the amount of time participants exercised, which would have resulted in different exercise-induced energy deficits across participants, likely influencing...
the compensatory response and attentional bias towards food cues.

**Bout of Sedentary behavior:** Similar to the acute bout of exercise, participants completed assessments for hunger, attentional bias and inhibitory control before and after engaging in 60 min of television watching without advertisements (Netflix). Participants selected the program they wished to watch on a big screen TV while relaxing in a recliner. The only stipulation was participants were not allowed to select cooking or food-related programs as this may have influenced their motivational drive to eat.

### 2.1. Measures

#### 2.1.1. Height and weight

Height was measured in triplicate to the nearest 0.1 cm using a stadiometer (Seca; Chino, CA). Body weight was measured using a calibrated digital scale connected to the BodPod (Cosmed, USA) to the nearest 0.01 kg. Measures were completed with participants wearing spandex shorts and sports bra (females) or no shirt (males) as required for the BodPod assessment (below). BMI was calculated during the screening and enrollment visit to ensure participants qualified for the study.

#### 2.1.2. Body composition

Body fat and fat-free mass (kg) was determined via air displacement plethysmography (BodPod, Cosmed USA) and percent body fat calculated. Participants were assessed in the fasted condition at a separate visit prior to completing the two assessment visits (Fig. 1). The BodPod is a reliable and valid assessment tool for body composition in adults, offering a quick and non-invasive assessment of body composition [55]. The Siri or Schutte density model was used, depending on race, to calculate the compensatory response and attentional bias towards food cues.

#### 2.1.3. Physical activity

To ensure participants were adequately sedentary (defined as not meeting Vigorous physical activity [VPA] guidelines) participants were provided an ActiGraph accelerometer (GT3X model, Pensacola Fla) during their screening and enrollment visit and were instructed to wear it during all awake hours except for swimming or bathing over the following seven days. The accelerometer was collected at a second visit and analyzed to ensure the participant wore it for seven days and that they did not meet VPA guidelines. If the participant did not wear it for seven days, they were given the accelerometer back and this visit was rescheduled. If participants met the VPA guidelines (90+ minutes per week) they would be disqualified from the study. No participants were disqualified from this study based on this requirement. VPA was assessed in favor of moderate to vigorous physical activity (MVPA) as the VPA measure is more representative of exercise behavior (planned, structured physical activity performed with the goal of increasing fitness) whereas MVPA would include non-exercise activities that included walking [58].

#### 2.1.4. Attentional bias

Attentional bias for food cues was assessed by the visual probe procedure adapted for food cues [59-61]. Images of highly reinforcing, energy dense foods were matched with neutral images (non-food-related) and presented on a computer screen, one pair at a time. Ten image pairs were presented twice, once with the food image on the left and once with the food image on the right. An additional ten pairs of only neutral images were presented twice. Image pairs were matched for size, color and complexity, and presented in random order. Images were presented for 3000 ms, after which, a visual probe appeared on either side of the screen in place of one of the previously presented images. Participants responded as quickly as possible to indicate which side the probe appeared by pressing a corresponding computer key. Fixation time (amount of time looking at food and neutral images) was measured by an eye-tracking device (Tobii Pro-Fusion 2500, Reston, VA) [59]. Percentage of time fixated on the food image was the primary outcome of interest calculated as: amount of time looking at the food image/ (amount of time looking at neutral image + amount of time looking at food image)*100.

#### 2.1.5. Inhibitory control for food cues

Inhibitory control for food cues was assessed via a food-specific go/no-go task [47], where participants are required to respond to food-related images or neutral (non-food) images. Food-related images included highly rewarding, energy-dense foods such as desserts, candy, or high-fat main entrees such as cheese burgers or other fried foods. Neutral images included those not associated with eating such as office supplies or furniture. After the cue image was presented, it turned either solid green (go) or blue (no-go). All participants were assigned to the “go” condition, where 80% of responses following food cues was the “go” response [51, 62]. Participants were instructed to respond by pressing a keyboard button when the green target appeared and withhold responding when the blue target appeared. Failing to withhold responding (blue) to a food-related image is indicative of poor inhibitory control for food cues [51]. The final outcome assessed was percentage of inhibitory failures, or “false alarms” following a food cue (responding when presented with a blue cue following a food image).

#### 2.1.6. Hunger and satiety

Visual analog scales (VAS) were completed to assess hunger at the beginning of each assessment visit and immediately after the bout of exercise or sedentary behavior (prior to all attentional bias attentional bias and inhibitory control assessments). Participants were asked “how hungry do you feel right now?”, on a computer program where they were instructed to drag a bar along a line that ranged from “not hungry at all” to “extremely hungry”. Hunger scores were used as covariates in statistical models. To ensure participants were not more attentive towards food cues just because they were hungrier.

### 2.2. Analytic plan and power considerations

Independent sample T-tests were used to test for differences in demographics, physical activity behaviors, and specifics of the exercise bout (duration, intensity) between males and females. Repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to assess interactions between condition (exercise vs. sedentary) and time (pre vs. post) for attentional bias and inhibitory control outcomes. Bivariate correlations were performed between outcomes measures and participant characteristics. Mediation analysis was performed to assess the mediating effect of inhibitory control on the relationships between exercise and
attentional bias and the effects of inhibitory control on the relationship between time and attentional bias in the exercise condition. Linear regression was used to determine predictors of attentional bias outcomes with special interest if hunger scores influenced attentional bias. All statistical analyses were performed with SPSS version 28 (IBM Corp.).

A previous study informed our sample size calculations [63]. They reported an effect size of 0.33 for the interaction between condition and time based on 58 study participants. We estimated that for a within-subject design, with a power of 0.80, and alpha of 0.05, a sample size of at least 30 per group would be needed to detect an interaction effect of 0.53 or larger.

3. Results

A total of 30 participants (14 female) aged 32.9 years with a BMI of 27.2 (mean ± SD) completed the study and were compensated $200. Thirty one (31) participants were originally enrolled with one male dropping out prior to either assessment visit. Table 1 details anthropomorphic data and habitual physical activity behaviors of the study participants. Females had greater percentage of body fat but there were no other differences. As designed, no participant met the physical activity guidelines for VPA.

Table 2 details the bout of self-selected intensity exercise participants performed on the assessment visit that featured exercise. Females exercised longer than males due to differences in the rate of energy expenditure (requiring more time to expend 500 kcal) which is largely driven by body mass and was calculated by the Polar A-300 fitness watch. There were no differences in exercise intensity (% of time exercising at heart rate zone 3–5) or total kcal expended.

As shown in Fig. 2, a significant interaction between condition (exercise vs sedentary) and time (pre vs post) was observed for attentional bias. The percentage of time fixated on food cues increased from 48.85% pre to 55.24% post in the exercise condition, while remaining rather consistent in the sedentary condition at 50.81% pre – 50.15% post.

Inhibitory Control results, expressed as percentage of inhibitory fails when presented with a food cue followed by the stop signal, are presented in Table 3. There was no condition or time effect with or without sex included as a covariate.

No Correlations were observed between attentional bias and inhibitory control (r = –0.03, p = 0.75). Neither attentional bias nor inhibitory control were correlated with hunger (r = 0.13 and –0.12, respectively, both p > 0.2). Sex was correlated with inhibitory control (r = –0.2, p = 0.03), while T tests confirmed females had greater inhibitory control than males (p = 0.03). Sex was therefore controlled for in assessing changes in inhibitory control, but did not change results depicted in Table 3. Mediation analyses were performed to determine if inhibitory control mediates the effect exercise has on attentional bias for food cues and if inhibitory control mediates the effect time has on attentional bias for food cues when limiting our analysis to the exercise condition. Neither scenario revealed significant mediating effects, with and without controlling for sex (all p > 0.3). To further illustrate hunger did not influence attentional bias, Table 4 presents the linear regression model (full and reduced) demonstrating only BMI was a significant independent predictor of attentional bias when all time points were included. There were no significant predictors of attentional bias when only the post-exercise time point was included or pre to post exercise change in attentional bias.

4. Discussion

The primary findings of the present study are: 1) a large dose of acute exercise results in a shift in attentional processing towards food cues among individuals classified as overweight to obese who do not exercise; 2) inhibitory control is not differentially altered by exercise or sedentary activity in this population; and 3) hunger did not influence attentional bias or inhibitory control for food cues. Below we discuss these findings.

---

**Table 1**
Baseline demographic measures of study participants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Male (n = 16)</th>
<th>Female (n = 14)</th>
<th>All (n = 30)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>31.1 ± 6.1</td>
<td>35.0 ± 8.8</td>
<td>32.9 ± 7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>31.7 ± 4.9</td>
<td>33.7 ± 5.1</td>
<td>32.7 ± 5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% body fat</td>
<td>34.6 ± 6.9</td>
<td>43.3 ± 6.3</td>
<td>38.5 ± 8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VPA</td>
<td>2.9 ± 9.4</td>
<td>7.5 ± 17.2</td>
<td>5.1 ± 13.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data presented as means ± SD.
VPA: vigorous and very vigorous physical activity, 7-day total from accelerometer.
*Significant difference between sex (P ≤ 0.05).

**Table 2**
Time and energy expenditure (Kcal) of the acute bout of exercise among study participants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Male (n = 16)</th>
<th>Female (n = 14)</th>
<th>All (n = 30)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total time (minutes)</td>
<td>36.7 ± 4.1</td>
<td>53.6 ± 8.2</td>
<td>46.38 ± 5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zone 3-4 time (minutes)</td>
<td>30.1 ± 1.1</td>
<td>34.8 ± 6.79</td>
<td>33.1 ± 3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kcal</td>
<td>506.5 ± 1.5</td>
<td>498.9 ± 14.1</td>
<td>502.4 ± 7.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data presented as mean ± SE.
Zone 3–4: refers to heart rate reserve zones 3–4 (70–100% of maximal heart rate reserve).
Kcal: Amount of energy (in kcal) expended during the exercise bout (instructed to stop after reaching 500 kcal).
*Significant difference between sex (P ≤ 0.05).

**Table 3**
No significant condition, time, or condition by time effects for inhibitory control measured as percentage of inhibitory fails to the stop signal following a food cue.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pre (n = 30)</th>
<th>Post (n = 30)</th>
<th>Total (n = 60)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exercise</td>
<td>8.67 ± 2.0</td>
<td>11.73 ± 2.8</td>
<td>10.20 ± 1.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sedentary</td>
<td>10.40 ± 2.4</td>
<td>13.87 ± 2.90</td>
<td>12.13 ± 1.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total 9.53 ± 1.58 12.80 ± 2.05
Condition by time effect size (d) = –0.032.

---

Fig. 2. A significant time (pre vs. post) by condition (exercise vs sedentary) interaction was observed for attentional bias scores (percentage of time fixated on food cues) (P = 0.008). Attentional bias significantly increased pre to post exercise bout where participants expended 500 kcal while no significant changes were observed between pre to post sedentary activity (watching TV for 60 min). *Similar letters indicate similar attentional bias scores (percent fixation time), Condition by time effect size (d) = 0.48.
in the context of the existing literature.

There is a dearth of literature investigating effects of exercise on attentional bias for food cues. To our knowledge, only one such study has been published, which investigated if a short bout of walking could help alleviate chocolate cravings [63]. This study recruited habitual chocolate consumers and deprived them of chocolate. Attentional bias towards chocolate was assessed prior to and after a 15 min walk. This study demonstrated attentional bias for chocolate decreases after a 15 min bout of walking, concluding a short walk “took participants minds off their cravings” [63]. Since this study was not centered on obesity, the bout of walking was not at the level (intensity or duration) needed to produce sufficient weight loss [20, 21]. Further, the participants in this study were in a state of deprivation and had elevated attentional bias for the stimuli prior to the bout of walking. These factors make it difficult to compare to the results of the present study.

Research investigating the activation of brain regions involved in attentional processing of food images through functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) or electroencephalography (EEG) have shown decreases in these regions after acute exercise [64-67]. This is at odds with the current findings that exercise increases attentional bias for food cues, indicating other neurocognitive aspects may be in play. Alternatively, children appear to display increased activation of neural activity when presented energy dense foods after exercise compared to low energy-dense foods [68]. Future studies may further investigate this interaction by also assessing the effects of exercise on attentional bias to food cues in children.

Greater energy intake and weight status is often a product of the interaction between inhibitory control and attentional bias, as over-eating occurs when the motivational wanting of food exceeds one’s capacity for inhibitory control for eating. As such, a heightened attentional processing towards food may not lead to overeating in those with greater inhibitory control [69, 70]. Conversely, poor inhibitory control strengthens the positive relationship between food’s reinforcing properties and energy intake [69, 71, 72]. Indeed, individuals classified as overweight or obese have poor inhibitory control compared to those classified as normal weight [44, 53, 73-75].

The present study failed to demonstrate exercise influences inhibitory control or had any relationship with attentional bias. Others have demonstrated that exercise improves cognitive function for food-related tasks believed to be related to inhibitory control [76, 77]. These studies utilized a Stroop Task, while the present study specifically assessed motor impulsivity towards food cues i.e. the difficulty suppressing pre-potent responses via a Go/NoGo task [46-51, 78-82]. These prior studies were also performed in children, who likely respond differently to exercise than a sedentary adult classified as overweight or obese. The concept of exercise improving cognitive performance is not new [83-86], although less is known how exercise may affect the ability of an individual classified as overweight or obese to inhibit responding towards food cues. Our results indicate that acute exercise influences attentional bias towards food cues while inhibitory control remains consistent. We also demonstrate inhibitory control and attentional bias for food cues are not related (correlates or mediators) in the context of the present study. Since the present trial did not assess food intake nor was it designed to evaluate weight loss, longitudinal studies are needed to further explore these relationships.

Sex differences in exercise induced energy intake have been reported previously, indicating females are at greater risk for increasing their energy intake in response to exercise when compared to males [87-89]. While we have failed to demonstrate a sex effect in the overall compensatory response [20, 21], others have suggested females may compensate for an exercise-induced energy deficit over the longer term in order to preserve greater body fat stores compared to males [90]. Our sample, which was rather evenly split between male and females, did not detect a sex effect for attentional bias outcomes after exercise nor did sex predict attentional bias when all timepoints were considered. Females did, however, have a greater inhibitory control for food cues than males (all time points considered). Although when including sex as a covariate, there were still no condition or time effects for inhibitory control. Furthermore, the consensus of evidence demonstrates that appetite and appetite-regulating hormones are not differently altered by exercise between males and females [91, 92]. Although future studies specifically powered to detect sex differences in exercise-induced energy compensation and attentional bias are still needed, other behavioral or biological mechanisms appear to be in play to further promote energy intake in females when exercising.

Our linear regression (Table 4) also demonstrated BMI is a significant predictor of attentional bias for food cues, but in the opposite direction expected. Obese individuals have shown greater attentional bias for food cues [41-44], while our regression analysis revealed BMI is a negative predictor of attentional bias. However, this finding must be viewed with caution. There were no differences in attentional bias towards food cues when dividing the participants into two groups based on weight status (obese, BMI ≥ 30 vs. overweight BMI 25–24.9). This is likely due to 22 out of the 30 participants having a BMI ≥ 30, with a very narrow spread of BMIs across all participants (SE = 0.95). An additional group of participants with BMI < 25 is needed to appropriately assess the relationship between BMI and attentional bias, which has been carried out previously [43]. For this reason, we did not include BMI as a covariate when assessing attentional bias outcomes. The primary purpose of this regression analysis was to determine if hunger scores predicted attentional bias when all timepoints were considered.

This study is not without limitations. We did not assess energy intake for the remainder of the day or the following day, so we do not know if these exercise-induced increases in attentional bias were correlated with greater energy intake. An alternative design would be to measure ad libitum energy intake in the lab after completion of the exercise and control condition. However, this assessment, typically employing buffet-style meals to allow for the selection of different foods, is likely not how individuals would eat in a more natural setting and over-estimates energy intake in response to exercise [93-95]. It is also unknown if age plays a role in this response as the current study only included younger adults. Although we demonstrated hunger did not influence attentional bias or inhibitory control for food cues when assessed 3-5 h post-prandial, additional studies using extremely hungry or well satiated individuals must be undertaken to confirm this is always the case. We also only assessed the effects of aerobic exercise on attentional bias. Whether other modes of exercise (resistance training) differentially alter attentional bias for food cues is unknown. Since the intensity of exercise was not assigned (all participants self-selected their intensity) we cannot make any assumptions on how exercise intensity may influence attentional bias or inhibitory control. Acute bouts of low to moderate intensity exercise (40–60% VO2 max) do not appear to influence appetite [96] while higher-intensity exercise has been shown to suppress hunger for up to 2 h [97, 98]. It is unknown if high-intensity exercise would also influence attentional bias differently than low or moderate-intensity exercise.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>β</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>66.13</td>
<td>8.21</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inhibitory Control</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>-0.63</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunger</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reduced model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>β</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>75.88</td>
<td>7.67</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>-0.75</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Hunger scores were self-reported on a 0–100 scale and were taken prior to each Attentional bias and inhibitory control assessments.
5. Future directions

Additional clinical trials are needed to definitively conclude exercise-induced increases in attentional bias are an important mechanism behind the compensatory response to an exercise program and thus responsible for weight-loss resistance. Future trials may consider including assessments of dietary intake to directly demonstrate exercise-induced increases in attentional bias are related to increases in energy intake. Although with the known underreporting habitual dietary intake assessments are subject to, this may be difficult [99, 100]. The present trial assessed attentional bias and inhibitory control once, 15 min after exercise, largely for participant convenience and funding limitations. It may be interesting to assess these measures at different time points during the day after an exercise bout as more robust increases may present later in the day, or there may be a window where changes are most robust. Since the present trial only assessed this response to an acute bout of exercise, we are also uncertain if this response holds after a long-term exercise intervention. The long-term response may be the more important question, as exercise interventions for weight loss last much longer than a single exercise bout. Longitudinal studies demonstrating increased attentional bias for food cues during an exercise intervention are needed and could guide the development of novel interventions to improve the efficacy of exercise for weight loss. These longitudinal studies can also link exercise-induced increases in attentional bias to energy compensation and weight loss, further establishing attentional bias as a compensatory mechanism.
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